



Superior Court of the State of California

COUNTY OF TULARE

CIVIL LEGAL PROCESSING
221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 201
Visalia, California 93291
Telephone: (559) 730-5000

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PACKAGE

This is Tulare County Superior Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Package. The package contains:

1. The court's current ADR Referral List;
2. Tulare County Superior Court's Local Rule 600 on Case Management Conferences;
3. Information about ADR.

At the time a civil complaint is filed, the clerk will issue a hearing date and time for the Case Management Conference (CMC). This information is placed on the front page of the complaint. Plaintiff must serve notice of the CMC hearing and this ADR Package on each defendant with the summons and complaint.

All parties appearing in the action are ordered to meet and confer prior to the CMC date regarding an agreed upon mediator and mediation date and time under Local Rule 600(a)(5).

Each party must file and serve a CMC statement on Judicial Council form CM-110 no later than 15 calendar days before the CMC hearing under California Rules of Court, rule 3.725 and Local Rule 600(a)(6).

Counsel and unrepresented parties are required to be present, either in person or by CourtCall (See Local Rule 108 regarding CourtCall), at the CMC hearing and have authority to enter into a mediation agreement if the parties have agreed to mediate. Each party appearing shall also have sufficient information and understanding of the case in order to evaluate it accurately.

Please be advised that monetary and/or terminating sanctions shall be imposed against parties and counsel who fail to comply with state and local rules regarding case management conferences without good cause.

ADR REFERRAL LIST
September 2017

NAME	HOURLY RATE	PROFILE INFORMATION
Honorable Howard R. Broadman (Ret.) 300 N. Willis Visalia, CA. 93291 Phone: (559) 738-1800 Fax: (559) 738-1102 Email: judgebroadman@judgebroadman.com	\$400. per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Kenneth M. Byrum 5080 California Ave #200 Bakersfield, CA 93309 Phone: (661) 861-6191 Fax: (661) 861-6190 Email: ken@kmbmediation.com	\$300.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Russell D. Cook 1233 West Shaw, Suite 100 Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559) 225-2510 Fax: (559) 229-3941 Email: rdcook@rdcooklaw.com	\$285.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Valerie V. Flugge 45406 South Fork Drive Three Rivers, CA 93271 Phone: (559)802-4234 Email: Valerie@sequoiamediation.com	\$250.00 per hours	Click Here Resume on file
Donald H. Glasrud Dietrich, Glasrud, Mallek & Aune 5250 North Palm Ave, Suite 402 Fresno, CA 93704 Phone: (559) 435-5250 Fax: (559) 435-8776 Email: dhg@dgmLaw.com	\$375.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
M. Troy Hazelton 3585 W. Beechwood Ave, Suite 101 Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559) 431-1300 Fax: (559) 431-1442 Email: Thazelton@pgllp.com	\$195.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Lee M. Jacobson 1690 W. Shaw Avenue, Suite 201 Fresno, CA 93711	\$290.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file

Phone: (559) 448-0400 Fax: (559) 448-0123 Email: lmj@jhnmlaw.com		
Daniel O. Jamison 8080 North Palm Avenue Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559)432-4500 Fax: (559)432-4590 Email: djamison@daklaw.com	\$320 per hour including travel time	Click Here Resume on file
Honorable Patrick J. O'Hara (Ret.) 300 N. Willis Visalia, CA. 93291 Phone: (559) 429-4570 Fax: (559) 429-4575 Email: judgeohara@judgeohara.com Website: www.judgeohara.com	\$400.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Richard B. Isham 3814 W. Robinwood P.O. Box 8139 Visalia, CA. 93290 Phone: (559) 733-2257 Cell: (559)738-3963 Email: rbisham@att.net	\$300.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
Kevin G.Little 1099 E. Champlain Drive, Suite A-124 Fresno, CA 93720 Phone: (559)708-4750 Fax: (559)420-0830 Email: kevinglittle@yahoo.com	\$200.00 per hour 2 hour minimum	Click Here Resume on file
Linda Luke 632 W. Oak Avenue Visalia, CA. 93291 Phone: (559) 733-9505 Fax: (559) 733-3910 Email: linda.luke@icloud.com	\$275.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file
John T. Nagel 1233 W. Shaw Avenue, #100 Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559)225-2510 Fax: (559) 225-2389 Email: johntnagel@comcast.net	\$245.00 per hour	Click Here Resume on file

<p>Douglas E. Noll P.O. Box 2336 Clovis, CA. 93613 Phone: 800-785-4487 Fax: 877-765-1353 Email: doug@nollassociates.com</p>	<p>\$400 per hour 4 hour minimum</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>Honorable Robert. H. Oliver (Ret.) 5260 N. Palm Ave, Fourth Floor Fresno, CA 93704 Fax: (559) 432-5620 Email: roliver@bakermanock.com</p>	<p>\$400.00 per hour (2 Hour Minimum)</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>James M. Phillips 8080 N. Palm Ave, Suite 101 Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559) 261-9340 Fax: (888) 974-4321 Email: phillipsgp@aol.com</p>	<p>\$340.00 per hour</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>Michael Renberg 1540 E. Shaw Ave, Suite 123 Fresno, CA 93710 Phone: (559) 431-6300 Fax: (559) 432-1018 Email: mrenberg@prcelaw.com</p>	<p>\$240.00 per hour</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>Laurie Quigley Saldana 791 Price Street. #323 Pismo Beach, CA. 93449 Phone: (559) 730-1812 Email: laurie@mediationcentral.net</p>	<p>\$350.00 per hour</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>Tom Simonian 1100 W. Center Ave Visalia, CA. 93291 Phone: (559) 732-7111 Fax: (559)732-1540</p>	<p>\$290.00 per hour</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>
<p>Andrew R. Weiss 7109 North Fresno Street, Suite 250 Fresno, CA 93720 Phone: (559) 438-2080 Cell: (559) 259-4663 Email: aweiss@weissmartin.com</p>	<p>\$300.00 per hour</p>	<p>Click Here Resume on file</p>

CHAPTER 6 – MANAGING CIVIL CASES

Rule 600 – Case Management Conference

- (a) The Judicial Council has implemented state rules for the management of civil cases (Cal. Rules of Court, Chapter 2 Trial Court Management of Civil Cases, rules 10.900, et. Seq.).

In recognition of the state rules requiring the court to implement a case management Plan, the court elects to follow California Rules of Court, rule 3.714.

- (1) At the time the complaint is filed, the clerk will issue a hearing date for the Case Management Conference (CMC) to plaintiff that is no less than 120 days after the filing of the complaint. The clerk will also provide the Plaintiff with the court's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) package including the list of the names of the mediators who have applied and met the court's mediation/arbitration qualifications pursuant to the program adopted by the court under California Rules of Court, rule 10.781. Plaintiff must serve a Notice of CMC and the ADR package on each defendant along with the summons and complaint.
- (2) Any party who files and serves a cross-complaint prior to the CMC must serve on each cross-defendant who is a new party to the action, a copy of the Notice of CMC and the ADR package along with the summons and cross-complaint. If a new cross-defendant is served after the initial CMC, the cross-complainant must serve the new cross-defendant with notice of any pending CMC, any assigned mediation date, trial, or settlement conference dates, and any other dates set by the court or orders made at the CMC.
- (3) If the plaintiff adds a new defendant or identifies a fictitiously named defendant after the initial CMC, along with the summons and complaint, plaintiff must serve the newly named defendant with notice of any pending CMC, any pending mediation date, any assigned trial and settlement conference dates, and any other dates set by the court or orders made at the CMC.
- (4) Proof of service of Notice of the CMC must be filed with the court within 60 days from the date the complaint is filed and may be included in the proof of service of the summons and complaint or cross-complaint.

- (5) This court has found that mediation is highly desirable and orders the parties to meet and confer prior to the CMC date regarding an agreed upon mediator and mediation date and time. A list of mediators and their fees are provided by the court in its ADR package. The mediator must be agreed upon before the CMC and the mediation date and time cleared with the mediator so the court may enter the date in the court's minute order.
- (6) Under California Rules of Court, rule 3.725, no later than 15 calendar days before the date set for the CMC, each party must file a CMC statement and serve it on all other parties in the case. Parties must use the mandatory CMC Statement (Judicial Council form CM-110). All applicable items on the form must be completed.
- (7) In lieu of each party's filing a separate case management statement, any two or more parties may file a joint statement.
- (b) Presence Required – Counsel and unrepresented parties are required to be present, either in person or by telephonic appearance pursuant to The Superior Court of Tulare County, Local Rules, rule 108, and must have: (1) sufficient information and understanding of the case to evaluate it accurately, and (2) sufficient authority to enter into binding agreements such as the diversion of the case to arbitration, including binding arbitration, the setting of a trial date and mandatory settlement conference date, the dismissal of doe defendants or other parties, and the setting of a further case management conference.
- (c) Compliance – Failure to attend the case management conference will result in the court making whatever orders and imposing whatever sanctions as may be necessary and appropriate to obtain compliance with these rules, including but not limited to, a waiver of the right to a jury trial and a waiver of the right to object to a referral to arbitration or other alternate dispute resolution procedure.
- (d) Waiver of Notice – When all parties are present at the case management conference and a trial date and settlement conference dates are agreed to by the parties or ordered by the court, such presence is an effective waiver of a separate or formal notice of settlement conference and trial date. (01/01/03) (Revised 01/01/07, 01/01/09) (07/01/11)

Alternative Dispute Resolution

There are different processes available to settle lawsuits without having to go to trial. The most common forms of ADR are Mediation, Arbitration, and Case Evaluation. In ADR, a trained, impartial person decides disputes or helps the parties reach resolutions of their disputes for themselves. The persons are neutrals who are normally chosen by the disputing parties or by the court. Neutrals can help parties resolve disputes without having to go to court.

Advantages of ADR

- Often quicker than going to trial, a dispute may be resolved in a matter of days or weeks instead of months or years.
- Often less expensive, saving the litigants court costs, attorney's fees and expert fees.
- Can permit more participation, allowing the parties the opportunity to tell their side of the story with more control over the outcome.
- Allows for flexibility in choice of ADR processes and resolution of the dispute.
- Fosters cooperation by allowing the parties to work together with the neutral to resolve the dispute and mutually agree to a remedy.
- Often less stressful than litigation. Most people have reported a high degree of satisfaction with ADR.

Because of these advantages, many parties choose ADR to resolve disputes instead of filing a lawsuit. Even after a lawsuit has been filed, the court can refer the dispute to a neutral before the lawsuit becomes costly. ADR has been used to resolve disputes even after trial, when the result is appealed.

Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may not be suitable for every dispute.

If ADR is binding, the parties normally give up most court protections, including a decision by a judge or jury under formal rules of evidence and procedure, and review for legal error by an appellate court. ADR may not be effective if it takes place before the parties have sufficient information to resolve the dispute. The neutral may charge a fee for his or her services. If the dispute is not resolved through ADR, the parties may then have to face the usual and traditional costs, such as attorney's fees and expert fees.

Lawsuits must be brought within specified periods of time, known as Statutes of Limitations. Parties must be careful not to let a Statute of Limitation run while a dispute is in an ADR process.

The Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation

In mediation, the mediator (a neutral) assists the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of their dispute. Unlike lawsuits or some other types of ADR, the mediator does not decide how the dispute is to be resolved. The parties do. It is a cooperative process in which the parties work together toward a resolution that tries to meet everyone's interests, instead of working against each other. Mediation normally leads to better relations between the parties and to lasting resolutions. It is particularly effective when parties have a continuing relationship, such as neighbors or businesses. It also is very effective where personal feelings are getting in the way of a resolution. Mediation normally gives the parties a chance to freely express their positions. Mediation can be successful for victims seeking restitution from offenders. When there has been violence between the parties, a mediator can meet separately with the parties.

Arbitration

In arbitration, the arbitrator (a neutral) reviews evidence, hears arguments, and makes a decision (award) to resolve the dispute. This is very different from mediation whereby the mediator helps the parties reach their own resolution. Arbitration normally is more informal, quicker, and less expensive than a lawsuit. In a matter of hours, an arbitrator often can hear a case that otherwise may take a week in court to try. This is because the evidence can be submitted by documents rather than by testimony.

There are Two Types of Arbitration in California

1. **Private arbitration** by agreement of the parties involved in the dispute. This type takes place outside of the court and normally is binding. In most cases, "binding" means that the arbitrator's decision (award) is final and there will not be a trial or an opportunity to appeal the decision.
2. **Judicial arbitration** ordered by the court. The arbitrator's decision is not binding unless the parties agree to be bound. A party who does not like the award may file a request for trial with the court within a specified time. However, if that party does not receive a more favorable result at trial, the party may have to pay a penalty.